

00:04:40 CERES Venue: Hi all

00:04:44 CERES Venue: My audio isn't working

00:04:52 CERES Venue: My mic

00:05:00 CERES Venue: I have to log out and log back in

00:12:19 Darrylle Ryan: hi all

00:13:05 John Magor: Hi Darrylle.

00:13:25 Robin M:

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1yCYWEZn0WO-FDPNEveKcqCsaOFX8kE1PxH8WnYgmzKU/edit#gid=1000759567>

00:17:10 John: 19:45

00:23:04 Darrylle Ryan: yes

00:29:09 Troy Reid:

Firstname	Lastname	Inactivity	Credits	Balance	Ernst	Kathy	21Larke	Coral
17Macpherson	Robin	21Rasmussen	Mark	17Reid	Troy	14Shapiro	Kate	
9Waldram	Grant	12						

00:29:16 John Magor: Interesting - Chair was asked to do something by Troy, - Chair then said not tonight, but acknowledged it had been asked, and spoke about how the matter's being addressed, Troy then responded again, and Chair said no. Troy then said he wanted a note put into minutes that no Directors had exhausted their points - Chair still resisted, but Troy, persisted, persisted. This feels -to me as a witness- like bullying of the Chair. 19:57

00:30:23 Grant Waldram: I seems to me that there was furious agreement about two quite unrelated issues.

00:31:22 Malcolm Matthews: How and when can a member have a different view and totals?

00:36:02 Troy Reid: Here is the table <https://dte.coop/to/9fa99>

00:36:24 Trevor Pitt 1767: Hand up

00:38:08 John Magor: Given that there are clearly stated differences with regards the exact process by which these points are accrued (or removed), I request, as a matter of both respect for all Members, as well as credibility and accountability, that Troy furnish the basis for the accumulation of points he insisted be included into this set of tonight's minutes. Troy - given the current environment, and disputes - I can not see how nor why you'd not consider this as the right and proper thing to do under the circumstances.

00:38:36 Grant Waldram: The rules don't actually seem to say anything about whether the register should be updated at the end or the start of any meeting. It simply says that there should be a record kept. It seems to make sense that the credits to be deducted as a result of any current meeting should presumably be noted in the minutes at the end of a meeting. But the notes provided by the secretary are simply a statement of the current running tally.

00:38:55 Robin M:

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1yCYWEZn0WO-FDPNEveKcqCsaOFX8kE1PxH8WnYgmzKU/edit#gid=370014960>

00:40:23 Trevor Pitt 1767: Where are the latest minute

00:42:38 Kathy: sorry, cant answer that

00:43:05 John Magor: Please watch your tone Robin. Please.

00:44:14 Trevor Pitt 1767: Are we looking at a public or private list?

00:45:25 Trevor Pitt 1767: There are no minutes from Sept on data .dte

00:45:52 John Magor: So - to be snippy at people not having read minutes that are consistently dynamic in nature - how then can any one Director have any confidence that all people are reading exactly the same minutes?

00:46:54 Grant Waldram: I don't have the ability to put my hand up kate but can I have a slot please

00:47:28 Mark Rasmussen: I'll put my hand up for you @Grant

00:48:03 Trevor Pitt 1767: Hands:KathyCoralGrant

00:48:43 Dan Smith: Why cant you read this spreadsheet before the meeting?

00:49:05 Trevor Pitt 1767: Not everyone can see the spreadsheet

00:49:06 DeB&Darren: HI DAN

00:49:34 Dan Smith: Hey Deb!

00:50:03 Ellen Meoww 1710: <http://dte.org.au/minutes/2016-09-01%20dir%20minutes%20.pdf>

00:50:31 Ellen Meoww 1710: We have come a very long way bless Flynn Go well darling

00:51:47 Dan Smith: Kathy - Save it as a bookmark!

00:56:14 Grant Waldram: These essentially ARE a set of draft minutes available for perusal before the meeting. The minute taker for any given meeting should, in theory, be the one who is responsible for editing, and comments can be added by anyone who notices a discrepancy.

00:57:02 Dan Smith: Hands: Robin, Coral, Troy, Kathy

00:57:11 John Magor: So how then can anyone - with any confidence who wants to prepare for a meeting know that what they've read before a meeting (eg., say a day before the meeting) is what's going to be presented at the time of the meeting? Does this not mean that the minutes will need to be read, by all Directors, at each meeting (as opposed to having read them beforehand). Otherwise, all meetings will require a time set aside for all Directors to read the current 'version' of the minutes. I'm truly shocked that 'The Board' sees that how manages its minutes (I use the word "manages" in a very generous sense) as being acceptable - and safe/easily verified.

00:58:21 Trevor Pitt 1767: Live minutes stuck in a spreadsheet link is not an appropriate location for minutes to be stored.

00:58:35 Kate Sarah: The comments are not an okay place for people to pass opinion and provide comment and criticism. I realise it's attempting but please refrain from doing so in this forum in open chat. Please leave it free for links, motions etc. Thank you.

00:58:43 John Magor: -----Wow! 5 days shy of a month, "a whole lot of motions by circular" (Troy) are only now about to be 'sent across' to become a part of the aforementioned minute keeping process????

00:58:43 Kate Sarah: tempting

01:09:22 Mark Rasmussen: Yes agree Grant

01:11:22 Dan Smith: I have added a link to sharepoint - Peter may want to do the same on Data.dte?

01:12:07 John: Usual in many organisations for draft to come out a couple of days after the meeting.

01:13:48 Grant Waldram: Exactly, John. And as such, they are a draft and are indeed a live document. The person who is responsible for updating the draft is normally the minute taker.

01:14:42 Mark Rasmussen: Agree Coral

01:14:49 Grant Waldram: everyone making changes isn't what's being suggested, coral

01:15:41 Mark Rasmussen: Everytime we have 2 ppl doing the minutes there is disagreement.... even though there is audio proof of what happened

01:16:07 Grant Waldram: And that's why you need to nominate a custodian of a particular set of minutes.

01:16:13 Mark Rasmussen: yes

01:26:50 Mark Rasmussen: Lol, What's the trouble- Bubble? I like the humour

01:39:48 Mark Rasmussen: 9:07PM

01:40:14 Ellen Meoww 1710: 2020-08-11 OC .pdf

01:40:42 Ellen Meoww 1710: <http://dte.org.au/minutes/2020-08-11%20OC%20.pdf>

01:40:52 Ellen Meoww 1710: For Johns \$68

01:41:41 John: 2020-08-11 OC

01:45:47 Trevor Pitt 1767: These minute are different to those already posted on data.dte

01:49:47 Grant Waldram: That's a very good point Trevor, but you can't be taking action on minutes that aren't considered correct. So, in theory, you can't act on any minuted items until the minutes have been passed. That makes it even more important to get the fastest possible turnaround of producing minutes after a meeting. That's one thing this process is trying to speed up - namely to have agendas convert almost automatically into minutes.

01:55:57 Mark Rasmussen: yes

01:59:49 Trevor Pitt 1767: How far back do you go and amend posted minutes?

02:04:10 Grant Waldram: You don't do it after the minutes have been passed at a meeting. Until that time they are, by definition, drafts. So, the posted minutes should note the meeting at which they were passed.

02:07:49 Mark Rasmussen: Make a correction Kathy. We all agree

02:09:25 John: line 64: motion withdrawn

02:09:43 John: 20200806

02:16:26 Mark Rasmussen: Thanks Br John

02:17:27 Mark Rasmussen: Nice Trevor

02:25:43 Mark Rasmussen: Easy

02:27:36 Kathy: This motion is a duplicate as it was already approved by circulation of documents.

02:46:36 Mark Rasmussen: Exactly Elle. Thankyou

02:47:11 Mark Rasmussen: If we took out all the comments we could have made any changes and done them by now

02:47:41 Kate Sarah: Minutes of 06082020 Moved GW Sec MR PBC

02:50:08 Mark Rasmussen: Yes, good observation Chair

02:55:13 Trevor Pitt 1767: Agenda item ID: 12087Date: 2020-10-01 19:32:29Meeting: BoardAgenda item: Bank AustraliaAgenda details: To open a term deposit with bank Australia signatories need to be listed in the minutesMotion: That signatories to the Bank Australia term deposit be listed in the minutesItem by: Coral Larke

02:55:16 Coral Larke: Motion That Troy Reid, Mark Rasmussen, Robin Mac Donald, Kate Shapiro, Kathy Ernst and Coral Lake are to be signatories for the Australia Bank term deposit account

02:55:45 Coral Larke: That directors Troy Reid, Mark Rasmussen, Robin Mac Donald, Kate Shapiro, Kathy Ernst and Coral Lake are to be signatories for the Australia Bank term deposit account

02:55:48 Mark Rasmussen: Moved Coral Larke

02:55:59 Mark Rasmussen: Seconded Mark Rasmussen

02:56:27 Troy Reid: Motion "that Troy Reid, Mark Rasmussen, Robin Macpherson, Kate Shapiro, Kathy Ernst and Coral Lake are to be signatories for the Australia Bank term deposit account with minimum 4 directors to sign."

02:56:40 Kate Sarah: That Troy Reid, Mark Rasmussen, Robin MacPherson, Kate Shapiro, Kathy Ernst and Coral Lake be made signatories for the Australia Bank accounts held in the name of Down to Earth (Victoria) Cooperative Society Ltd.

02:57:02 Kate Sarah: with a minimum of four directors to sign.

02:57:17 Troy Reid: I support

02:59:42 Kate Sarah: That Troy Reid, Mark Rasmussen, Robin MacPherson, Kate Shapiro, Kathleen Ernst, Grant Waldram and Coralyn Larke are to be made signatories for the Australia Bank accounts held in the name of Down to Earth (Victoria) Cooperative Society Ltd. and that these accounts should be operated as accounts with "four signatories to sign".

03:00:07 Kate Sarah: Moved Coral Larke Seconded Mark Rasmussen

03:01:23 Kate Sarah: PBC

03:04:37 Trevor Pitt 1767: Agenda item ID: 12085Date: 2020-10-01 16:21:46Meeting: BoardAgenda item: Director nomination - lodging electronically?Agenda details: Consider email regarding electronic lodgement for Director positions nominations.Motion: DiscussionItem by: John Reid

03:04:57 John: Text I am thinking of:

First preference for lodgement is for the nominee to fill in and scan the nomination form, and send to info@tbt.com.au, with copies to supporters. Supporters are then asked to 'reply all' indicating support for the candidate, all members using their nominated DTE email account.

03:09:46 DeB&Darren: John was asking Troy

03:10:00 Mark Rasmussen: Coral, due to my agreement that the Code of Conduct is important, I am happy to remain after this topic to support a motion to the Board in support of the C of C. So you will need 2 other Directors support to get the Motion passed

03:10:55 Mark Rasmussen: Tonight

03:13:16 Grant Waldram: They should be CC'd as a confirmation of lodgement perhaps, but the aligning of supporters with nominations isn't ok. Sending it in as a single form is essential.

03:13:23 Mark Rasmussen: Coral, can you type thwe motion for the Code of Conduct into the chat so we can just do it

03:14:17 Mark Rasmussen: (The motion for Board support)
03:14:40 Kate Sarah: Would Kristi be prepared to be the cc:
03:15:33 Troy Reid: DTE Rule 41(4) The nomination and the notice referred to in the sub-rule (3) must be lodged at the registered office of the Co-operative at least 28 days before the annual general meeting.
03:16:04 Grant Waldram: Yes but trevor it decouples the nomination from the supporters. You can send form, print and scan (even photos on a phone)
03:18:33 Trevor Pitt 1767: Thanks Grant
03:23:55 Mark Rasmussen: Coral??
03:33:56 Mark Rasmussen: Kate - Mic drop ... Over!!
03:35:07 Troy Reid: 99% of people submit their volunteer activity form by scanning and email
03:46:12 Mark Rasmussen: Youre going to miss the opportunity Coral
03:48:08 Kate Sarah: Outcome of discussion: Completed nomination form is to be lodged to registered office as per rules. DTE Rule 41(4) The nomination and the notice referred to in the sub-rule (3) must be lodged at the registered office of the Co-operative at least 28 days before the annual general meeting.
03:48:25 Troy Reid: Next Board Mtg 7:30PM Mon 5 Oct 2020
03:48:39 Troy Reid: Agreed by consensus
03:53:48 Kate Sarah: <http://dte.org.au/minutes/2016-09-01%20dir%20minutes%20.pdf>
03:56:52 John Magor: Goodnight all.
04:17:32 DeB&Darren: goodnight peoples